

MINIMAL SUPERSYMMETRIC STANDARD MODEL EXTENDED BY ONE ADDITIONAL HIGGS SINGLET AND ITS INFLUENCE ON THE ANOMALOUS MAGNETIC MOMENT OF THE MUON*

H. KÖNIG *

Ottawa-Carleton Institute for Physics
Department of Physics, Carleton University
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1S 5B6

ABSTRACT

We present the results of the influence of the minimal supersymmetric standard model extended by an additional Higgs singlet N , with vacuum expectation value v_N , on the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon. This gives different mass matrices for the charginos and neutralinos, which are taken into account within the relevant penguin diagrams leading to a contribution Δa_μ to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon. We show that a large vacuum expectation value for the Higgs singlet leads to a suppression of the supersymmetric contribution making it difficult to see in an experiment in the near future.

I. INTRODUCTION

The anomalous magnetic moment of the Muon (AMMM) $a_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(g_\mu - 2)$ is one of the most precisely known quantities. The experimentally measured deviation Δa_μ of a_μ is within a range of $-2 \cdot 10^{-8} \leq \Delta a_\mu^{\text{exp.}} \leq +2.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ ¹. Because of this highly precise measured value the AMMM has become a favorite parameter, which can be used to look for influences of models beyond the standard model.

In the near future there will be an experiment² which will give a value for $\Delta a_\mu^{\text{exp}}$ about a factor 10-20 better than this one above and thus it becomes more important to look for all possible contributions to this value, especially in models beyond the standard model.

In this talk we present how an additional Higgs singlet N in the superpotential which gets a vev affects the contribution Δa_μ to the AMMM within the minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM). In the first section we describe the MSSM extended by one additional Higgs singlet. We take into account the experimental mass bounds for charginos, neutralinos, the scalar muon and the scalar muon neutrino given by the study of Z^0 decays at LEP and SLC³. In the last section we

* Talk presented at Beyond the Standard Model III, June 22-24, 1992, Ottawa, Canada.

* supported by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

present the results of the influence of those particles on the AMMM.

Detailed calculations of the relevant feynman diagrams have been given elsewhere^{4,5} and will not be repeated here.

II. THE MINIMAL SUPERSYMMETRIC STANDARD MODEL EXTENDED BY ONE ADDITIONAL HIGGS SINGLET

In the MSSM the superpotential⁶ g_{S3} is described by trilinear Yukawa couplings of two Higgs doublets to the scalar quark and lepton doublets and the scalar partner of the righthanded quark and lepton singlets. The scalar potential^{7,8} consists of $F_i^* = -\frac{\partial g_{S3}}{\partial \Phi_i}$ -terms and $D_a = g_a \Phi^{i*} T_i^{aj} \Phi_j$ -terms, soft SUSY breaking mass terms for the scalar particles, and trilinear scalar couplings of the order of the W-boson mass for phenomenological reasons. To get the correct $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$ breaking⁹ and to avoid an axion¹⁰ it is well known that one has to introduce a further bilinear term in the superpotential $g_{S2} = +\mu H_1 \epsilon H_2$. Because the fermion masses are given by $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 g_S}{\partial \Phi_i \partial \Phi_j} \Psi_i \Psi_j + h.c.$ this term also plays an important role in the mass matrices of charginos and neutralinos⁷. In an E_6 -based superstring inspired model such a mass term for the Higgs particles is naturally absent¹¹. To avoid this term and still to get a phenomenologically possible supersymmetric (SUSY) model with only trilinear terms, a Higgs singlet N was introduced^{8,12}.

In the following, we extend our superpotential g_{S3} by the terms $g_N = h_N H_1 \epsilon H_2 N - \frac{1}{3} k N^3$. The $k N^3$ is important for avoiding an unacceptable axion according to a global $U(1)$ symmetry. Detailed calculations of the consequences of g_N on the Higgs sector⁸ and on the charginos and neutralinos appear⁴. In this model the μ parameter is replaced by $\mu = h_N \frac{v_N}{\sqrt{2}}$ and we get in the chargino sector the same mass eigenstates, diagonalizing matrices and angles as given in ref.6. Here the analytic results are well known. In the neutralino sector we have to perform numerical calculations. Only a few limits give analytic expressions (e.g. gaugino masses equal to zero or $\mu = 0$). In the following we will not consider these special cases. We take the more realistic cases given in ref.8. There it was shown that the RGEs drive the values of h_N and k to their infrared fixed points if we start at the GUT scale with values of order 1 or larger and end up at the weak scale. The results given there are $h_N \approx 0.87$ and $k \approx 0.6$. Therefore only one new parameter r is left. In this talk we take the two cases of $r=0.1$ (that is $\mu = 15.3$ GeV) and $r=1$ ($\mu = 153$ GeV) for the values of h_N and k given above.

In the following we consider three special interesting cases. For all cases we will take the well known relation for the gaugino masses $m_{g1}/m_{g2} = 3\alpha_2/5\alpha_1$. We take into account the mass bound of the lightest higgsino-like neutralino to be heavier than about 25 GeV¹³. This bound is given by the measurement of the invisible width of the Z^0 -boson and from the ALEPH and OPAL collaboration experiments¹⁴, based on visible Z^0 decays into neutralinos.

For the discussion of the mass matrices of the charginos and neutralinos in the

MSSM we refer the reader to the literature⁶.

For the discussion of the mass matrices of the charginos and neutralinos in the MSSM without the μ parameter, but with an additional Higgs singlet N we refer the reader to the first reference in ref.4. There we have considered three different cases. In the first case we have taken $r=0.1$ (that is $\mu = 15.3$ GeV) and $\tan\beta = 3/2$. In the other two cases we have $r=1$ with $\tan\beta = 3/2$ and 4.

In the next section we want to present the result of the influences of the charginos and neutralinos on the AMMM in the three cases considered above.

III. THE ANOMALOUS MAGNETIC MOMENT OF THE MUON IN SUSY MODELS EXTENDED BY AN ADDITIONAL HIGGS SINGLET

In this section we only present our results, as detailed calculations have been given elsewhere^{4,5} and will not be repeated here. In the considered cases we use for the mass of the SU(2) doublet scalar muon neutrino the lowest experimental bound of $m_{\tilde{\nu}_\mu} = 30$ GeV. From the D-term in the scalarpotential (note that our singlet here has no U(1) charge and so does not contribute to the D-term) it follows that the SU(2) doublet scalar muon mass is then given by⁹ $m_{\tilde{\mu}}^2 = m_{\tilde{\nu}_\mu}^2 + m_W^2 \frac{v_2^2 - v_1^2}{v_1^2 + v_2^2}$, which gives us $m_{\tilde{\mu}} \approx 59$ GeV for $\tan\beta = 3/2$ and 82 GeV for $\tan\beta = 4$. We also assume $m_{\tilde{\mu}_L} = m_{\tilde{\mu}_R}$ and we neglect large mixing between the scalar partners of the left and right handed muons ($m_\mu \ll m_{\tilde{\mu}}$).

In Fig.1 we have plotted the first case with $r = 0.1$ ($\mu = 15.3$ GeV) for $\tan\beta = 3/2$. Here we see that we get higher contributions to the AMMM if the gaugino masses get larger, but we have to remark that m_{g_2} has to be lower than about 100 GeV if we want to have the lightest chargino larger than 40 GeV. In this allowed gaugino mass region the value for Δa_μ goes from $-2.36 \cdot 10^{-9}$ up to $-2.14 \cdot 10^{-9}$. In Fig.2 we have plotted the last two cases with $r = 1$ ($\mu = 153$ GeV) for $\tan\beta = 3/2$ (solid line) and $\tan\beta = 4$ (dotted line). For $\tan\beta = 3/2$, Δa_μ lies in the range of $-2.12 \cdot 10^{-9}$ to $-6.87 \cdot 10^{-10}$ and for $\tan\beta = 4$ in the range of $-1.42 \cdot 10^{-9}$ to $-5.28 \cdot 10^{-10}$. As mentioned above we have to take $m_{g_2} \geq 100$ GeV in both cases to have a lightest chargino with mass larger than 40 GeV. We stopped at 200 GeV for the gaugino mass of SU(2) since for larger masses Δa_μ gets too small. Because the uncertainty of the hadronic contribution to the AMMM is about $1.9 \cdot 10^{-9}$ ¹⁵ a too large gaugino mass will make the SUSY contribution undistinguishable from the uncertainties of the hadronic contribution. As a result, we see that an additional Higgs singlet N will suppress the contribution from the MSSM if it gets a large vev leading to a large μ parameter in the MSSM. This would also be true in the MSSM, if we had chosen $\mu = +153$ GeV instead of $\mu = -153$ GeV. The difference between the singlet model and the MSSM with a large μ parameter lies basically in the neutralino sector, but the influence on the AMMM turns out to be the same. For a detailed discussion of the influence on the AMMM within the MSSM we refer the reader to ref.5.

Fig.1 The contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon Δa_μ as a function of the gaugino mass of SU(2) in the case $r=0.1$ ($\mu = -15.3$ GeV) with $\tan \beta = 3/2$. We have taken $m_{\tilde{\nu}_\mu} = 30$ GeV with $m_{\tilde{\mu}} = 59$ GeV.

Fig.2 The contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon Δa_μ as a function of the gaugino mass of SU(2) in the case $r=1$ ($\mu = 153$ GeV) with $\tan \beta = 3/2$ (solid line) and $\tan \beta = 4$ and (dotted line). For the masses of the scalar muon neutrino and scalar muon we have taken $m_{\tilde{\nu}_\mu} = 30$ GeV with $m_{\tilde{\mu}} = 59$ GeV for $\tan \beta = 3/2$ and $m_{\tilde{\mu}} = 82$ GeV for $\tan \beta = 4$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this talk we have shown how an additional Higgs singlet N in the supersymmetric standard model without a μ parameter in the superpotential affects the mass matrices of the charginos and neutralinos. We have also shown the consequences for the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon if the Higgs singlet involves a large vacuum expectation value. As a result, we have seen that a too large value v_N (that is a large μ parameter) leads to values of the contribution Δa_μ to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon which makes it impossible to distinguish it from the uncertainties of the hadronic contributions. This is also true for the MSSM with a large positive μ parameter. We conclude that if there will be an experiment which gives a value for $\Delta a_\mu^{\text{exp}}$ only a factor 10-20 better than the old value, the minimal supersymmetric standard model with a small μ parameter and not too large masses for the scalar muon neutrino and scalar muon will be ruled out and we will get strong lower limits for the gaugino masses if we have a large positive value of the μ parameter in the MSSM or if we have a large vacuum expectation value v_N in a supersymmetric model extended by a Higgs singlet N.

As a final point we want to mention that we have also tried to include R-parity breaking through a vacuum expectation value v_τ of the scalar tau neutrino within the extended supersymmetric model considered here. But, due to the new variation of the Higgs potential with respect to v_τ , we get new constraints which cannot be fulfilled^{4,16}.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bailey et al., *Nucl.Phys.* **B150**(1979),1.
F.H. Compey, *Rep.Progr.Phys.* **42**(1979),1889
- [2] V.M. Hughes, *AIP Conference Proceedings, Intersections between Part. and Nucl.Phys.*, Lake Louise, Canada 1986.
- [3] B. Adeva et al, *Phys.Lett.* **233B**(1989),530.
D. Decamp et al, *Phys.Lett.* **236B**(1990),86.

- M. Akrawy et al, *Phys.Lett.* **240B**(1990),261.
T. Barklov et al, SLAC PUB-5196(1990).
- [4] H.König, *Z. Phys.* **C52**(1992),159 ,*Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A4**(1992),279.
 - [5] I. Vendramin, *Nuovo Cimento* **101A**(1989),721.
 - [6] H.E. Haber and G.L. Kane, *Phys.Rep.* **117**(1985),75.
J.F. Gunion and H.E. Haber, *Nucl.Phys.* **B272**(1986),1.
 - [7] H.P. Nilles, *Phys.Rep.* **110**(1984),1
 - [8] M. Drees, *Int.J.Mod.Phys.* **A4**(1989),3635.
J. Ellis et al, *Phys.Rev.* **D39**(1989),844.
 - [9] L.E. Ibañez and C. López, *Nucl.Phys.* **B233**(1984),511, *Nucl.Phys.* **B218**(1983),514.
 - [10] R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **38**(1977),1444, *Phys.Rev.* **D16**(1977),
1791,Proceedings of the 1981 Kyoto Summer Institute.
 - [11] E. Witten, *Phys.Lett.* **155B**(1985),151, *Nucl.Phys.* **B258**(1985),75.
P. Candelas et al, *Nucl.Phys.* **B258**(1985),46.
 - [12] J.F. Gunion et al, *The Higgs Hunter's Guide*, (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City,
CA, 1990).
 - [13] L. Roszkowski,*Phys.Lett.* **252B**(1990),471.
 - [14] J.F. Grivaz, talks presented at the 25th Rencontres de Moriond on Electroweak
Interactions and Unified Theories (March 1990), and at PASCOS 90, North-
Eastern University Boston, MA (March 1990).
D. Decamp et al, *Phys.Lett.* **244B**(1990),541.
M.Z. Akrawy et al, *Phys.Lett.* **B248**(1990),211.
 - [15] T. Kinoshita et al, *Phys.Rev.* **D41**(1990),593, *Phys.Rev.***D31**(1985),2108.
 - [16] H. König, Ph.D.-thesis (German), unpublished.